UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,355	07/17/2006	Yoshihiro Kanda	064766-0019	3030
	7590 04/29/200 `WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR	EET, NW	AGUSTIN, PETER VINCENT		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary)/586,355	KANDA, YOSHIF	HIRO	
		aminer	Art Unit		
		ter Vincent Agustin	2627		
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears	on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of 1 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	FHE MAILING DATE rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). his communication. kimum statutory period will app for reply will, by statute, caus months after the mailing date	OF THIS COMMUNIC In no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MON'e the application to become AB	CATION. Exply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status					
Responsive to communication 2a) This action is FINAL . 3) Since this application is in corclosed in accordance with the	2b)∏ This acti	on is non-final. except for formal matte	•	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6 ar</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5 and 7</u> is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 9</u> is/are obje 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to	d 8 is/are withdrawn . ected. cted to.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 Octood Applicant may not request that an Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	ober 2008 is/are: a) and objection to the draw cluding the correction is	ring(s) be held in abeyan s required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application 		

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is a national stage entry (371) of PCT/JP05/00339, filed on January 14, 2005.

2. Claims 1-9 are currently pending, with claims 6 & 8 withdrawn from consideration, and claims 1-5, 7 & 9 being examined.

Drawings

3. Replacement drawings for Figures 8-11 were received on October 29, 2008. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 4, 5 & 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Dekker et al. (US 6,683,833).

In regard to claim 1, the admitted prior art discloses a repetitive control device (Figure 8) comprising: an adder (2) to which a compensated signal (S3) is inputted; a feedback signal system (6, 7 & 10) for sequentially updating and storing an output signal from the adder, and outputting the signal to the adder; said feedback signal system comprising, a filter (6), a memory (7) which stores signal information for one rotation of a disc into divided plural memory areas of the memory (see Figure 9 and page 3, paragraph 3), a gain element (10) which multiplies an output from the filter by a value (β) not larger than 1 (page 3, last paragraph), and inputs the

result to the adder (2), and said memory being operated using a clock signal (output of 8 & 9) that is equal to an operation frequency of a driving signal (interpreted as "spindle FG signal" recited in page 3, second to the last paragraph), or a divided frequency thereof (see page 3, second to the last paragraph: "clock signal having a frequency that is phase-synchronized with an inputted spindle FG signal").

In regard to claim 4, the admitted prior art discloses that said filter (6) includes a low-pass filter (page 3, lines 6-7).

In regard to claim 5, the admitted prior art discloses that said filter (6) is a band-pass filter comprising a low-pass filter and a high-pass filter (page 3, lines 6-10).

However, the admitted prior art discloses that the filter and the memory are separately provided, i.e., the admitted prior art does not disclose: in regard to claim 1, "a filter which has, as a delay element, a memory". Furthermore, the admitted prior art discloses that the memory is operated using a clock signal, i.e., the admitted prior art does not disclose: in regard to claim 1, that said <u>filter</u> is operated using a clock signal. Furthermore, the admitted prior art does not disclose: in regard to claims 4 & 5, that said filter uses said memory as a delay element for the low-pass filter.

Dekker et al. disclose: in regard to claim 1, a filter (Figure 2, element 25) which has a memory (36) as a delay element (see Figure 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have integrated the filter and memory of the admitted prior art as suggested by Dekker et al., the motivation being to provide a control system which is insensitive to variations in angular velocity and which yet has a relatively high stability (column 1, lines 53-57). It should be noted

Art Unit: 2627

that this combination of references automatically results with a filter that uses the memory as a delay element for a low-pass filter, as recited in claims 4 & 5.

In regard to claim 7, the admitted prior art discloses an optical disc device (Figure 8) performing recording or playback of an optical disc, which is equipped with a repetitive control device as defined in claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2, 3 & 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 In regard to claims 2 & 3, see the reasons noted in the previous Office action.

In regard to claim 9, the prior art of record alone or in combination fails to teach or suggest: a repetitive control device comprising: <u>an adder to which a compensated</u> <u>signal is inputted</u>; a feedback signal system for sequentially updating and storing an output signal from the adder, and outputting the signal to the adder; said feedback signal system comprising, a filter which has, as a delay element, a memory which stores signal information for one rotation of a disc into divided plural memory areas of the memory, a gain element which multiplies an output from the filter by a value not larger than 1, and inputs the result to the adder, and <u>said filter being operated using a clock signal that is equal to an operation frequency of a driving signal, or a divided frequency thereof, wherein the driving signal corresponds to the compensated signal.</u>

Art Unit: 2627

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed on October 29, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- (a) In response to applicant's argument on page 7, paragraph 3 that there is no teaching of "said filter being operated using a clock signal that is equal to an operation frequency of a driving signal, or a divided frequency thereof", note that the claimed "a driving signal" is interpreted by the examiner as corresponding to the "spindle FG signal" recited in page 3, second to the last paragraph, which section also recites a "clock signal having a frequency that is phase-synchronized with an inputted spindle FG signal". As noted, this clock signal is outputted and used as the timing signal for the apparatus of Figures 8 & 9, which is consistent with what is claimed. It should be noted that in newly-added claim 9, the claimed "driving signal" is further limited as corresponding to "the compensated signal" (inputted to an adder, as claimed), which therefore distinguishes claim 9 over the teachings of the admitted prior art. This is in contrast to claim 1, which merely recites "a driving signal".
- (b) In response to applicant's reference to the specification, pointing to the description that "the operation frequency is not equal to the memory address switching frequency but equal to the operation frequency of the driving signal or a division frequency thereof", as noted in item (a) above, the claimed "a driving signal" is interpreted as the "spindle FG signal" recited in the background.
- (c) In response to applicant's argument on page 7-8 regarding the Dekker reference, as noted in items (a) and (b) above, the admitted prior art discloses the argued limitations.

Art Unit: 2627

(d) In response to applicant's argument on page 9 regarding the dependent claims, as noted in items (a) through (c) above, all limitations of the independent claim are disclosed.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Vincent Agustin whose telephone number is (571) 272-7567. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 AM-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A. L. Wellington can be reached on (571) 272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter Vincent Agustin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627